



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: PATENT APPLICATION of  
Inventor(s) Van Hamont, et al.

Appln. No. 08/698,896 (U.S. Patent No. 5,705,197)  
Issued January 1, 1998

Group Art Unit: 1617

Reissue Filed: January 6, 2000

Examiner: T. Crisp

Title: HYBRID SOLVENT EVAPORATION-EXTRACTION PROCESS FOR  
PRODUCING PLGA MICROSPHERES

Date: March 30, 2001

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated November 30, 2000, please consider the following remarks.

**Remarks**

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully requested.

In the November 30, 2000 Office Action, claims 11-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over applicants' alleged admissions. Specifically, the Examiner has argued that our patent "admits" at column 2, lines 16-34 that "there is a process for preparing PLGA microcapsules involving acetonitrile, mineral oil and heptane" already known in the prior art, and that this renders obvious our present claims.

We agree with the Examiner that a process was known for preparing PLGA microcapsules involving acetonitrile, mineral oil and heptane, but firmly disagree that our process would have been obvious therefrom. Please note column 2, lines 22-34 of our

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

APR 03 2001

RECEIVED

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

JAN 22 2003

RECEIVED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

APR 13 2001

RECEIVED